

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SINHDARELLA, INC., a California
Corporation,

Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. C 07-04353 WHA

v.

KEVIN VU, an individual, d/b/a/ THE
BOILING CRAB, et al.

Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

✓ the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)

other requested deadline _____

Dated: Nov. 9, 2007



Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 9 Nov 2007.



Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- ✓ Mediation
- Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- ✓ 90 days from the date of this order.
- other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is Latham & Watkins LLP, 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor, Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925.

On November 9, 2007, I served the following document described as:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

by serving a true copy of the above-described document in the following manner:


BY U.S. MAIL

I am familiar with the office practice of Latham & Watkins LLP for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, documents are deposited with the Latham & Watkins LLP personnel responsible for depositing documents with the United States Postal Service; such documents are delivered to the United States Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business, with postage thereon fully prepaid. I deposited in Latham & Watkins LLP' interoffice mail a sealed envelope or package containing the above-described document and addressed as set forth below in accordance with the office practice of Latham & Watkins LLP for collecting and processing documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service:

Christopher Hays, Esq.
Law Offices of Christopher Hays
One Embarcadero Center, Suite 500
San Francisco, California 94111

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of, or permitted to practice before, this Court at whose direction the service was made and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 9, 2007, at Costa Mesa, California.


Cindy Berry